

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,286	10/31/2003	Edmund J. Ring	58780US002	1619
32692	7590 07/20/2005		EXAM	INER
3M INNOV PO BOX 334	ATIVE PROPERTIES	FASTOVSKY	FASTOVSKY, LEONID M	
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3742	

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		App	olication No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/	698,286	RING, EDMUND J.		
		Exa	miner	Art Unit		
		Leo	nid M. Fastovsky	3742		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHOR THE MAI - Extension after SIX (- If the perio - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN s of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn and for reply specified above is less than thirty (3)	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nunication. 0) days, a reply within atutory period will appl will, by statute, cause	In no event, however, may a re the statutory minimum of thirty y and will expire SIX (6) MON the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>24 March</u>	<u>2005</u> .			
2a)⊠ Th	nis action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	of Claims		•			
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	 Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,5-12,1-8,22-27 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,4,13,19-21and 28 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application	Papers					
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of	Peferance Cited (PTO 200)		∧ □	(DTO 440)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20050324. 			Paper No(s	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -		

Application/Control Number: 10/698,286

Art Unit: 3742

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 19-21are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamp et al (4,899,025).

Kamp teaches an inductive heating device comprising a power supply 1 including a work head 4, an inductive coupling assembly 5,7 configured to removably couple the power supply 1 to the work head, the inductive coupling assembly including a primary coil 24, a secondary coil 13 and an inductive core 12, the power supply 1 being electrically coupled to the primary coil 13, the second coil being electrically coupled to the work head 4, the primary and secondary coils configured to be magnetically coupled through the inductor core 12 when the inductive coupling assembly 5, 7 is coupled. Also, the inductor core 12 is attached within and extends from the primary coil 24 and attaches within and extends from the secondary coil 13.

3. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kamp et al. Kamp teaches an inductive heating device comprising a power supply 1 including a primary coil 24, a cable assembly 11 having a first end coupled to the second coil 13 and a second end coupled to a work head 4, an inductor core 12, and means 5, 7 for

Application/Control Number: 10/698,286 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

removably coupling the cable assembly 11 to the power supply such that the inductor core 12 couples between the primary coil 24 and the secondary coil 13.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-4 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kamp in view of Tamura (2001/0039137).

Kamp teaches substantially the claimed invention, but does not teach a sleeve and a method of inductive heating. Tamura discloses a core 21 constituting a wire-coil for applying high voltage and a protective shield wiring –sleeve 23 with protective cladding on the outside of the shielding wire 23 is provided. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify Kamp's invention to include a protective sleeve as taught by Tamura as reasonably pertinent to the particular problem of facilitating the assembly of the inductive heating device in accordance with MPEP 2141.01(a). As for claim 13, it would have be obvious to use the teaching of Kamp in view of Kamura to use a method of heating a target substrate because the discloses all elements of the invention.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 3/24/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Kamp discloses a power supply 1 and is definitely capable to removably couple the inductive coupling assembly 5,7 to the power supply 1 (col. 2, lines 35-55 and Fig.).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid M Fastovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-4778. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th. 8.00 am -6.00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,286

Art Unit: 3742

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Leonid M Fastovsky

Examiner Art Unit 3742

Imf

MONICA S. CARTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER